Definitions

Methodological naturalism is a strategy for studying the world, by which scientists choose not to consider supernatural causes - even as a remote possibility. (Naturally Produced Processes)

Closed Science-(restricted by rigid methodological naturalism), evidence and logic are not the determining factors because the inevitable conclusion — no matter what is being studied, or what is the evidence — must be that "it happened by natural process.

Open Science-(liberated from rigid methodological naturalism) this question can be evaluated based on scientific evidence; a scientist begins with methodological naturalism, but is flexible (not rigid) and is willing to be persuaded by evidence and logic. Intelligent Design/Creation is not ruled out.

Most schools are only allowing the one option that the universe and everything in it was naturally produced. They are practicing and teaching closed science by not allowing the intelligent design/creation option. This is disallowing the pursuit of truth and use of critical thinking. There is no proof that a supernatural creator did not create everything.

The purpose of school is to educate. Let's educate the students and let them decide based on pros and cons of each which has a higher probability of being true. Let's not act like this subject is like other subjects. This is a subject that has been under debate throughout history. Educate the students on it. Truth has no fear.

Not taught this origin idea in most public schools. Hence; not teaching Open Science

Intelligently Designed

Naturally produced

Only taught this origin idea in most public schools. Hence; teaching Closed Science.

Thank you for reading this pamphlet. The great thing about the USA is the rights to Life, Property and Liberty. We are free to believe what we want, and allowed to communicate are beliefs to others. If you block that right, the powerful are the masters and the people are the slaves and must do whatever the master says. But, we have free will in the USA. And remember Truth will win in the end. So do not be afraid of anyone's communication of their belief. You can just ignore it, if do not like it. That is how people learn and figure things out. That is the American way and what makes America the land of the free. Please visit my website which is currently under construction at www.religionprobability.com

Sources used in this Pamphlet:
www.creation.com/its-not-science
www.slideshare.net/crumbreck/creation-vs-evolution
www.asa3.org/ASA/education/origins/briefmn.htm

Scott the Truth Seeker is Pursuing Truth

using logic, reason and evidence and the results show that

Evolution Fails the Scientific Method
Definitions

Science- the systematic study of the structure and behavior of the physical and natural world through observation and experiment. Discover how things operate by repeatable experimental verification and observation. (Scientific method)

Origins (Science related)- Deals with how things came into existence in the past and so is not open to experimental verification and observation unless someone invents a time machine. Therefore, fails scientific method.

5 Types of Evolution and only one is observed science. The rest are origins.
1) Cosmic Evolution- the origin of time, space and matter. (Origins)
2) Chemical Evolution- The origin of higher elements from Hydrogen. (Origins)
3) Stellar Evolution- The origins of the stars and planets. (Origins)
4) Organic Evolution- The origin of life. (Origins)
5) Macro evolution- Changing from one kind of species into another kind over time (Origins)
6) Micro evolution- Variations within the same kind. (Observed Science)

In my pursuit of truth concerning if evolution passes the scientific method I discovered in my search that evolution fails the scientific method and is therefore an origin idea.

The best explanation by scientists for evolution identify some processes that have never been observed as follows:

1) Nothing to something-- (Cosmic, Chemical, and Stellar Evolution). There have been no observed nature processes that produce something from nothing.

2) Non-Life to Life- (Organic Evolution) There have been no observed nature processes that non-living things change into living things.

3) One species mutating into a new species over time (Macro-Evolution). The nature process of one type of species reproducing and having a mutation different from that species, and eventually that species transitioning to a new species over time has never been observed in nature.

Fossils are often used for this macro-evolution idea, but the fossils are subjective and if the scientist is not open to supernatural origin idea than risk the chance that will miss the truth. See picture below if someone is close minded for only one idea:

Many people mistakenly believe that the evolution taught in public schools is observable science, but the evidence shows it is actually an origin idea. The picture below is how many people view evolution. They seem to think that evolution has so much great evidence. And the creation/intelligent design idea is not a valid idea. They say such things as:
1) The creation people do not have science on their side, they are just relying on their faith.
2) We only teach science in school, not faith.
3) Stop trying to establish religion faith in our science class.

But the evidence shows that 5 of the 6 types of evolution fails the scientific method and therefore belongs in an origin class, just like the creation/intelligent design belongs in an origin class.